

UDC 504.5:628.4.047:635.8(477.51)

**Ganna Grodzynska, Vitaliy Nebesnyi, Igor Teslenko**  
**RADIOACTIVE CONTAMINATION OF WILD MUSHROOMS**  
**IN CHERNIHIV POLESIE**



**Ганна Гродзинська, Віталій Небесний, Ігор Тесленко**  
**РАДІОАКТИВНЕ ЗАБРУДНЕННЯ ДИКОРΟΣЛИХ ГРИБІВ**  
**У ЧЕРНІГІВСЬКОМУ ПОЛІССІ**

DOI: 10.58407/bht.2.23.5

**ABSTRACT**

**Purpose.** We continue our retrospective investigations of radioactive contamination of wild mushrooms from Ukrainian Polesie. The aim of the current study is an assessment of radioactive contamination of wild mushrooms from some locations of Chernihiv Polesie in 1991-2021.

**Methodology.** A study of radiocaesium activity in 66 wild mushroom species (edible, inedible, conditionally edible, poisonous) (from 2 to 25 fruitbodies per sample) and soils (0-5 cm of upper mineral layer, taken by the envelope method) from 14 locations of Chernihiv Polesie was carried out with  $\gamma$ -spectrometry (Ge-detector Canberra GLX 4019, USA). In some mushrooms,  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  activity was determined radiochemically on the basis of  $^{90}\text{Y}$  accumulation according to the standard method.

**Scientific novelty.** The results of  $\gamma$ -spectrometric studies of the activity of radiocaesium in 66 species of wild mushrooms from the localities of Chernihiv Polesie over a 30-year period are given, the coefficients reflecting the bioaccumulation activity of each species counted, the hyperaccumulator species of radiocaesium are determined, and the potential annual equivalent doses for adults are calculated under the conditions of consumption of some valuable culinary-medicinal mushrooms (*B. edulis*, *C. cibarius*, *I. badia*, *Suillus* spp, *T. equestre*).

**Conclusions.** Activity levels of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  in wild mushrooms of Chernihiv Polesie varied over the years 1991-2021 depending on the mushroom species, its ecological affiliation, radioecological situation at the sampling sites, showing a certain tendency to decrease over time. Hyper-accumulative properties in relation to  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  are demonstrated by *Cortinarius*, *Sarcodon*, *Paralepista*, *Tricholoma*, *Lactarius*, *Suillus* spp. Widely distributed on the territory of Ukrainian Polesie – *I. badia*, *P. involutus* and *L. rufus*, remain representative bioindicators of radiocaesium contamination. A potential calculated annual effective doses due to the mushrooms consumption by adults, considering only the effect of the  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ , reached a high values in *Suillus* spp., *T. equestre*, and *I. badia* – 0.041, 0.035 and 0.025 mSv, respectively, which indicates a relatively insignificant dose load when consuming mushrooms in these localities compared to other localities of Ukrainian Polesie that have higher levels of soil contamination with radionuclides. However, radiation monitoring can still be recommended during mushroom procurement and mass collections.

**Key words:**  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{90}\text{Sr}$ , accumulation, mushrooms, bioindication

**АНОТАЦІЯ**

**Мета роботи.** Ми продовжуємо наші ретроспективні дослідження радіоактивного забруднення дикорослих грибів Українського Полісся. Метою даного дослідження є оцінка радіоактивного забруднення дикорослих грибів з деяких локалітетів Чернігівського Полісся впродовж 1991-2001 рр.

**Методологія.** Дослідження активності радіоцезію у плодових тілах дикорослих грибів (їстівних, неїстівних, умовно їстівних, отруйних)(2-25 плодових тіл у зразку) і ґрунтів з 14 локалітетів Чернігівського Полісся було проведено методом  $\gamma$ -спектрометрії (Ge-детектор Canberra GLX 4019, США). В деяких грибних зразках стандартним радіохімічним методом визначали активність  $^{90}\text{Sr}$ .

**Наукова новизна.** Наведено результати  $\gamma$ -спектрометричних досліджень активності радіоцезію у 66 видах дикорослих грибів з локалітетів Чернігівського Полісся за 30 річний період, обраховані коефіцієнти, що відображають біоаккумуляційну активність кожного виду, визначені види-гіперакумулятори радіоцезію, розраховані потенційні річні еквівалентні дози для дорослих за умов споживання деяких видів цінних їстівних та лікарських грибів (*B. edulis*, *C. cibarius*, *I. badia*, *Suillus* spp., *T. equestre*).

**Висновки.** Рівні активності  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  у дикорослих грибах Чернігівського Полісся протягом 1991-2021 рр. відрізнялися в залежності від виду гриба, його екологічної належності, радіоекологічної ситуації в місцях збору, виявляючи певну тенденцію до зниження з часом. Гіперакумулятивні властивості щодо  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  демонструють *Cortinarius*, *Sarcodon*, *Paralepista*, *Tricholoma*, *Lactarius*, *Suillus* spp. Репрезентативними біоіндикаторами забруднення радіоцезієм залишаються широко поширені на території Українського Полісся *I. badia*, *P. involutus* та *L. rufus*.

Найвищі потенційні річні ефективні дози від споживання грибів дорослими (враховуючи лише внесок  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ) встановлені у *Suillus* spp., *T. equestre* та *I. badia* – 0,041, 0,035 та 0,025 мЗв відповідно, що свідчить про відносно незначне дозове навантаження при споживанні грибів у цих населених пунктах порівняно з іншими населеними пунктами Українського Полісся, які мають більш високий рівень забруднення ґрунтів радіонуклідами. Проте, у випадку заготівлі та масових зборів грибів рекомендовано радіаційний контроль.

**Ключові слова:**  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{90}\text{Sr}$ , акумуляція, гриби, біоіндикація

## Introduction

Deterioration of the quality of the environment as a result of numerous man-made impacts (radiation and toxic emissions, growth of industrial waste and transport load, war actions, disturbance of natural landscapes) requires constant monitoring. A wide range of biological objects is involved for biotesting and bioindication of the state of the environment, among which some species of mushrooms are recognized as accumulative indicators, in particular radionuclides and heavy metals (Haselwandter et al., 1988; Fraiture et al., 1990; Wasser, Grodzinskaya, 1993; Grodzinskaya et al., 1995, 2003; 2011; 2022; Wasser et al., 1995; Baeza, Guillen, 2007; Kalač, 2012; 2019; Falandysz, Borovička, 2013; Grodzynska, 2017; Ernst, 2022). The forests of Ukrainian Polesie suffered the most as a result of the Chernobyl disaster, which reduced their resource and economic value and led to the fact that for more than three decades they are a constant source of radiation danger for the population (Landin, 2013). It is known that wild berries and mushrooms pose a special danger in the formation of a dose of internal radiation under the conditions of their consumption. Despite the rather extensive published results on the radioactive contamination of mushrooms, this topic continues to be of interest after the Chernobyl and the Fukushima accidents, due to the high accumulative capacity of mushrooms, in connection with the estimation of the long-term consequences and the danger of

mushroom consumption (Guillen & Baeza, 2014; Nakashima et al., 2015; Orita et al., 2018; Komatsu et al., 2019; Grodzinskaya et al., 2022; Saniewski et al., 2022; Gabriel et al., 2023).

## Materials and methods

Samples of whole fruitbodies of wild mushrooms and average soil samples (0-5 cm of upper mineral layer, taken by the envelope method) were collected in 14 locations of Chernihiv region of Ukraine. The sampling sites were approximately 25 × 25 m in size. Levels of soil contamination were determined both by the results of our field measurements and General dosimetry classification data ([https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/15AxieLuqKiv5N5lAMrPfdetEUUfz8HUvF6wN1CryzvQ/htmlpresentation?usp=gmail\\_thread](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/15AxieLuqKiv5N5lAMrPfdetEUUfz8HUvF6wN1CryzvQ/htmlpresentation?usp=gmail_thread)) (Table 1).

Mushrooms (2 to 25 complete fruitbodies per species) were carefully cleaned from plant and soil debris, dried at 60°C, ground to a fine dispersion, dried again at 80°C during 24 hours, then placed in polyethylene bags with a zip lock. The same procedure was used for the soil samples. We used gamma-spectrometry (Ge-detector Canberra GLX 4019, USA) to determine radiocaesium activity in fruitbodies of 66 mushroom species (Table 2). The currently valid names of mushrooms including authors are listed according to *Index Fungorum* ([www.indexfungorum.org](http://www.indexfungorum.org)), and edibility of the species is indicated according to *World of mushrooms of Ukraine* (<http://gribi.net.ua>).

Table 1

List of locations and levels of soil surface contamination with  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{90}\text{Sr}$   
in Chernihiv region of Ukraine

Location	The average levels of soil surface contamination, kBq/m <sup>2</sup> (recalculation for 2021)		Coordinates
	$^{137}\text{Cs}$	$^{90}\text{Sr}$	
Nizhyn district			
City of Bobrovytsia	5.0	1.7	50°45'18"N /31°25'49"E
Vil. Kobyzhcha	4.9	1.6	50°51'04"N /31°27'31"E
Snovs'k district			
Vil. Kuchynivka	2.4	1.6	51°42'01"N /31°53'51"E
Kozelets' district			
Vil. Evmyinka	7.8	2.0	50°50'06"N /30°50'05"E
Settl. Desna	17	3.9	50°55'28"N /30°44'31"E
Vil. Karpylivka	14	3.9	51°00'53"N /30°45'30"E
Vil. Krehayiv	8.7	2.0	50°48'52"N /30°48'22"E
Vil. Loshakova Guta	17.0	8.8	51°02'23"N /30°39'12"E
Regional landscape park Mizhrichyns'kyi	12.0	2.1	51°10'21"N /30°47'26"E
Vil. Nadynivka	3.9	1.0	51°12'19"N / 31°04'14"E
Vil. Pavlivka	2.0	0.9	51°28'39"N /31°12'17"E
Vil. Sokolivka	7.8	1.0	51°08'59"N /30°53'40"E
Vil. Syrai	6.8	1.0	50°49'11"N /31°02'09"E
Chernihiv district			
Vil. Smolyn	7.8	1.6	51°16'31"N /30°57'26"E

Table 2

List of studied species and their edibility

Species, authors*	Edibility**
1	2
<i>Agaricus campestris</i> L.	Edible
<i>Amanita citrina</i> Pers.	Poisonous
<i>A. muscaria</i> (L.) Lam.	Poisonous
<i>A. panterina</i> (DC.) Krombh.	Poisonous
<i>A. rubescens</i> Pers.	Edible
<i>Ampulloclitocybe clavipes</i> (Pers.) Redhead, Lutzoni, Moncalvo & Vilgalys	Edible
<i>Armillaria mellea</i> (Vahl) P. Kumm.	Edible
<i>Boletus edulis</i> Bull.	Edible
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i> Fr.	Edible
<i>Chlorophyllum rhacodes</i> (Vittad.) Vellinga	Edible
<i>Clitocybe nebularis</i> (Batsch) P. Kumm.	Edible
<i>Coltricia perennis</i> (L.) Murrill	Inedible
<i>Cortinarinus caperatus</i> (Pers.) Fr.	Edible
<i>C. malicorius</i> Fr.	Its edibility is not known
<i>C. praestans</i> (Cordier) Gillet	Edible
<i>C. varius</i> (Schaeff.) Fr.	Conditionally edible
<i>C. semisanguineus</i> (Fr.) Gillet	Poisonous
<i>C. trivialis</i> J.E. Lange	Inedible

Продовження табл. 2

1	2
<i>C. decipiens</i> (Pers.) Zawadzki	Inedible
<i>Hortiboletus rubellus</i> (Krombh.) Simonini, Vizzini & Gelardi	Edible
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i> (Wulfen) Maire	Inedible
<i>Hypholoma capnoides</i> (Fr.) P.Kumm.	Edible
<i>H. fasciculare</i> (Huds.) P. Kumm.	Poisonous
<i>Fistulina hepatica</i> (Schaeff.) With.	Edible
<i>Fomitopsis betulina</i> (Bull.) B.K. Cui, M.L. Han & Y.C. Dai	Inedible
<i>Imleria badia</i> (Fr.) Vizzini	Edible
<i>Infundibulicybe gibba</i> (Pers.) Harmaja	Edible
<i>Lactarius helvus</i> (Fr.) Fr.	Inedible
<i>L. rufus</i> (Scop.) Fr.	Conditionally edible
<i>L. turpis</i> (Weinm.) Fr.	Conditionally edible
<i>Lactifluus volemus</i> (Fr.) Kuntze	Conditionally edible
<i>Leccinum aurantiacum</i> (Bull.) Gray	Edible
<i>L. scabrum</i> (Bull.) Gray	Edible
<i>Lycoperdon perlatum</i> Pers.	Edible
<i>Macrolepiota procera</i> (Scop.) Singer	Edible
<i>Marasmius oreades</i> (Bolton) Fr.	Edible
<i>Paralepista gilva</i> (Pers.) Raitelh.	Poisonous
<i>Paxillus involutus</i> (Batsch) Fr.	Poisonous
<i>Pseudoclitocybe cyathiformis</i> (Bull.) Singer	Edible
<i>Rhodocollybia butyraceae</i> (Bull.) Lennox	Edible
<i>R. maculata</i> (Alb.&Schwein.) Singer	Inedible
<i>Russula aeruginea</i> Lindblad ex Fr.	Edible
<i>R. amethystina</i> Quél.	Edible
<i>R. cyanoxantha</i> (Schaeff.) Fr.	Edible
<i>R. delica</i> Fr.	Edible
<i>R. emetica</i> (Schaeff.) Pers.	Inedible
<i>R. foetens</i> Pers.	Conditionally edible
<i>R. fragilis</i> Fr.	Conditionally edible
<i>R. ochroleuca</i> Fr.	Edible
<i>R. paludosa</i> Britzelm.	Edible
<i>R. roseipes</i> Secr. ex Bres.	Edible
<i>R. vesca</i> Fr.	Edible
<i>R. violeipes</i> Quél.	Edible
<i>R. xerampelina</i> (Schaeff.) Fr.	Edible
<i>Sarcodon imbricatus</i> (L.) P. Karst.	Edible
<i>Suillus bovinus</i> (L.) Roussel	Edible
<i>S. granulatus</i> (L.) Roussel	Edible
<i>S. luteus</i> (L.) Roussel	Edible
<i>Thelephora terrestris</i> Ehrh. ex Fr.	Inedible
<i>Tricholoma equestre</i> (L.) P. Kumm	Edible
<i>T. fulvum</i> (DC.) Bigeard & H. Guill.	Edible
<i>T. portentosum</i> (Fr.) Quél.	Edible
<i>T. saponaceum</i> (Fr.) P.Kumm.	Inedible
<i>Tricholomopsis rutilans</i> (Schaeff.) Singer	Conditionally edible
<i>Tylopilus felleus</i> (Bull.) P.Karst.	Inedible
<i>Xerocomellus chrysenteron</i> (Bull.) Šutara	Edible

The measurement time of the sample ranged from 6 to 36 hours. The measurement error of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  was usually less than 10 %. In some mushroom samples, the activity of  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  was determined with the radiochemical method on the basis of  $^{90}\text{Y}$  accumulation according to the standard method. To assess the intensity of radionuclide accumulation by fruiting bodies, we calculated BAF – bioaccumulation factor (or coefficient of accumulation), which is equal to the ratio of the activity of the radionuclide in the mushroom fruitbody to its activity in the soil of the relevant habitat. For estimation of the potential risk to human health, the possible average dose of internal irradiation was calculated according to the formula:

$$H_{\text{int}} = c \times d_{\text{int}} \times e \times k,$$

where  $H_{\text{int}}$  – dose of internal irradiation;  $c$  – the specific activity of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ , Bq/kg of crude weight;  $d_{\text{int}}$  – coefficient to calculate the consumption of mushrooms by an adult ( $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$  mSv/Bq for  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ );  $e$  – estimated volume of annual consumption of mushrooms, kg (5 kg per person per year);  $k$  – the coefficient of culinary processing (0.5) (Grodzinskaya et al. 2022).

### Results and discussion

Chernihiv Polesie is a low-lying, undulating plain. The general flatness of the territory is broken by the valleys of the Dnipro, Desna, and Snov rivers. The climate is moderately continental, with an average of 500-610 mm of precipitation per year. There are many lakes and marshes in the river valleys. The area of swamps is 4.5% of the territory of Chernihiv Polesie. Sod-podzolic, marshy, gray forest soils are common. Forest coverage of the territory is about 25%. The largest forest areas are kept on interfluvies of the Dnieper and Desna, Snova and Desna. Pine and oak-pine forests predominate. In the landscape structure of Chernihiv Polesie, the main role is played by natural complexes of moraine-sand and sandy plains with turf podzolic soils and pine forests (Maslyak & Shishchenko, n.d.).

In the case of wild mushrooms in Ukrainian Polesie, we observe a danger preserved in time of mushrooms collected not only in the territories adjacent to the exclusion zone, but also in territories with a level of surface soil contamination of  $^{137}\text{Cs} \geq 37$  kBq/m<sup>2</sup> (1 Ci/km<sup>2</sup>) (Grodzinskaya et al., 2013; 2019; 2022, Grodzynska, 2017). In particular, in mushroom samples from the Drevlyanskyi Nature Reserve (Zhytomyr region), the highest levels of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  were observed in symbiotroph

species – *I. badia* ( $\leq 2,680$  kBq/kg dry mass), *T. equestre* ( $\leq 1,420$  kBq/kg dm), *L. rufus* ( $\leq 602$  kBq/kg dm), *S. imbricatus* ( $\leq 464$  kBq/kg dm), *L. scabrum* ( $\leq 117$  kBq/kg dm), *S. bovinus* ( $\leq 118$  kBq/kg dm), and *B. edulis* ( $\leq 96$  kBq/kg dm). Potential calculated annual effective doses due to mushroom consumption by adults, considering only the effect of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ , reached 0.311 and 8.71 mSv in *B. edulis* and *I. badia* (Grodzinskaya et al. 2022). At the same time, in the first post-Chornobyl period, 75-100% of mushrooms samples from the state enterprise Polissia forestry (Kyiv region) showed an exceedance of permissible radiocaesium content, then by 2018 this level decreased and amounted to 60.0 % for Zelenopolyansk and 37.84 % of Steshchynsk forestries. Despite the average levels of soil surface contamination with radiocaesium (1.1-2 Ci/km<sup>2</sup>), declared at most Polissia forestry sites, it is impossible to recommend the picking and harvesting of mushrooms due to continued potential risk of acquiring significant internal radiation doses due the uncontrolled consumption of wild mushrooms, particularly in the case of *I. badia* and *Suillus* spp., in these cases the corresponding effective doses reached 0.130 and 0.239 mSv/y taking into account only a contribution of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  (Gabriel et al., 2023).

In previous years, some data on radioactive contamination of wild mushrooms in the Chernihiv region were published (Wasser, Grodzinskaya, 1993; Grodzinskaya et al., 2013). In 1991 the highest value of radiocaesium ( $^{134}\text{Cs}+^{137}\text{Cs}$ ) was determined in symbiotroph *R. maculata*, the lowest – in humus saprotroph *A. campestris*, in which  $^{134}\text{Cs}$  was not found, as in the soil sample from this location. In 1999, samples of symbiotroph *A. muscaria* (with BAF up to 174) still contained some amount of  $^{134}\text{Cs}$ , while this radionuclide was not found in soil samples from three localities of Kozelets' district (Table 3).

In mushrooms and soils samples in 2001  $^{134}\text{Cs}$  was not detected. In the vicinity of the vil. Kuchynivka, symbiotrophs *P. involutus*, *C. cibarius*, and *S. luteus* were high contaminated with  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ , and respectively their coefficients of bioaccumulation (BAFs) were – 5.15, 3.85, and 2.73 (Table 3). Given that the maximum permissible level (PL) for dry mushrooms in Ukraine is 2.5 kBq kg dry mass, it can be noted, that over 90 % of samples from this locality in 2001 exceeded this level (The Ministry of Health of Ukraine, 2006). In 2002, in the samples collected in the vicinity of the city of Bobrovytsya, the activity of radiocaesium was below the PL.

Table 3

<sup>134</sup>Cs and <sup>137</sup>Cs-activity in mushrooms (kBq/kg dry mass)  
from Bobrovytsia (1991) and Kozelets' (1999) districts

Species, soil	<sup>134</sup> Cs	<sup>137</sup> Cs	BAF for <sup>137</sup> Cs
1991			
near vil. Kobyzhcha			
<i>Agaricus campestris</i>	-	7	0.1
<i>Hypholoma capnoides</i>	0.234	1.155	16.50
<i>Rhodocollybia butyraceae</i>	0.237	1.364	19.49
<i>Rhodocollybia maculata</i>	0.646	3.306	47.23
<i>Tricholomopsis rutilans</i>	0.226	1.154	16.49
Soil	BDL*	0.070	
1999			
near vil. Evmyinka			
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.100	1.740	174.0
Soil	BDL	0.010	
Near vil. Krehaiv			
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.080	0.800	4.211
Soil	BDL	0.190	
Near vil. Desna			
<i>Infundibulicybe gibba</i>	BDL	0.150	0.225
Soil	BDL	0.667	

Note: \*BDL – below detection limit

Table 4

<sup>137</sup>Cs-activity in (kBq/kg d.m.) in mushrooms  
from Snovs'k and Nizhyn districts in 2001 and 2002

Species, soil	<sup>137</sup> Cs	BAF
near vil. Kuchynivka		
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	1.694	0.636
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	3.703	1.390
<i>Boletus edulis</i>	3.162	1.187
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	10.26	3.851
<i>Imleria badia</i>	6.413	2.407
<i>Lactarius helvus</i>	3.725	1.398
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	13.71	5.146
<i>Russula ochroleuca</i>	4.959	1.861
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	5.010	1.881
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	7.269	2.729
<i>Tricholoma equestre</i>	3.214	1.206
Soil	2.664	
near city of Bobrovytsya		
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.071	0.670
<i>Amanita pantherina</i>	0.054	0.509
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	0.287	2.708
Soil	0.106	

In 2005, the highest  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ -activity in mushroom samples from Kozelets district found in *S. luteus* – 12.29 (BAF – 42.84) from location near vil. Sokolivka, and in 2006 – in *B. edulis* (near vil. Nadynivka) – 11.25 kBq/kg dm (BAF-52.06) (Table 5). Since 2007, the collection of mushroom samples has been carried out in the localities on the border of the Kozelets' and Chernihiv districts, near the village of Smolyn, due to the sufficient occurrence of species. In

2007 from this location the highest  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ -activities and BAFs detected in *L. rufus* – 125.0 kBq/kg d.m. (BAF – 33.48), *C. trivialis* – 61.49 (BAF – 304.4), *S. imbricatus* – 59.07 (77.32), and in 2008 – in *S. imbricatus* – 33.47 Bq/kg d.m. (BAF-157.9). In 2007 and 2008, in general, 75.61 % and 31.25 % of mushrooms samples from location near Smolyn, respectively, exceeded the PL.

Table 5

$^{137}\text{Cs}$ -activity (kBq/kg d.m.) in mushrooms from Kozelets' and Chernihiv districts in 2005-2008

Species, soil	$^{137}\text{Cs}$	BAF
1	2	3
2005		
near vil. Sokolivka		
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	1.125	3.920
<i>Leccinum aurantiacum</i>	0.544	1.895
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	0.115	0.401
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	12.29	42.82
Soil	0.287	
2006		
near vil. Nadynivka		
<i>Boletus edulis</i>	11.25	52.06
Soil	0.216	
<i>Boletus edulis</i>	8.818	54.10
Soil	0.163	
2007		
near v. Syrai		
<i>Clitocybe nebularis</i>	0.101	1.507
<i>Lycoperdon perlatum</i>	0.231	3.448
Soil	0.067	
near vil. Smolyn		
<i>Amanita citrina</i>	2.225	0.596
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i>	5.248	1.405
<i>Imleria badia</i>	25.49	6.826
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	125.0	33.48
<i>Macrolepiota procera</i>	0.116	0.031
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	38.45	10.30
<i>Pseudoclitocybe cyathiformis</i>	6.493	1.739
<i>Russula emetica</i>	11.67	3.125
<i>Russula fragilis</i>	3.802	1.018
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	6.656	1.783
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	9.970	2.670
Soil	3.734	
<i>Amanita citrina</i>	3.779	4.895
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	1.046	1.355
<i>Boletus edulis</i>	4.038	5.231
<i>Hypholoma fasciculare</i>	1.817	2.354
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	2.364	3.062
<i>Cortinarius trivialis</i>	34.38	44.53
<i>Cortinarius varius</i>	28.91	37.45

Продовження табл. 5

1	2	3
<i>Imleria badia</i>	20.09	26.02
Soil	0.772	
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	37.58	49.19
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	38.29	50.12
<i>Sarcodon imbricatus</i>	59.07	77.32
<i>Suillus bovinus</i>	11.08	14.50
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	3.622	4.741
<i>Tricholoma equestre</i>	3.710	4.856
<i>Tricholoma portentosum</i>	9.102	11.91
<i>Tylophillus felleus</i>	16.48	21.57
Soil	0.764	
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	1.221	6.045
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	7.492	37.09
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	2.089	10.34
<i>Cortinarius semisanguineus</i>	23.27	115.2
<i>Cortinarius trivialis</i>	61.49	304.4
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i>	4.208	20.83
<i>Hypholoma fasciculare</i>	3.971	19.66
<i>Imleria badia</i>	15.94	78.91
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	3.512	17.39
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	29.12	144.2
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	0.844	4.178
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	9.970	49.36
Soil	0.202	
2008		
near vil. Smolyn		
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	5.140	24.25
<i>Boletus edulis</i>	0.500	2.358
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i>	0.746	3.519
<i>Hypholoma fasciculare</i>	0.272	1.283
<i>Imleria badia</i>	3.054	14.41
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	1.800	8.491
<i>Lactarius turpis</i>	1.023	4.825
<i>Macrolepiota procera</i>	0.707	3.335
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	7.423	35.01
<i>Russula aeruginea</i>	0.142	0.670
<i>Russula delica</i>	1.437	6.778
<i>Russula amethystina</i>	0.137	0.646
<i>Sarcodon imbricatus</i>	33.47	157.9
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	6.533	30.82
<i>Tricholoma portentosum</i>	0.927	4.373
<i>Tricholomopsis rutilans</i>	0.893	4.212
Soil	0.212	
Regional landscape park «Mizhrichynskiy»		
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.257	0.704
<i>Amanita pantherina</i>	0.576	1.578
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	0.772	2.115
<i>Ampulloclitocybe clavipes</i>	0.218	0.597
<i>Cortinarius malicorus</i>	30.33	83.10
<i>Hypholoma fasciculare</i>	5.670	15.53
<i>Imleria badia</i>	8.238	22.57
<i>Imleria badia</i>	6.390	17.51
<i>Lactarius helvus</i>	49.03	134.3
<i>Marasmius oreades</i>	1.952	5.348
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	1.398	3.830
<i>Tricholoma portentosum</i>	8.207	22.48
<i>Tricholoma saponaceum</i>	1.500	4.110



Продовження табл. 5

1	2	3
Soil	0.365	
near vil. Loshakova Guta		
<i>Cortinarius trivialis</i>	25.22	91.05
<i>Leccinum scabrum</i>	6.523	23.55
Soil	0.277	
<i>Imleria badia</i>	9.973	74.98
<i>Marasmius oreades</i>	2.883	21.68
<i>Russula vesca</i>	3.347	25.17
<i>Russula violeipes</i>	1.257	9.451
<i>Tricholomopsis rutilans</i>	0.276	2.075
Soil	0.133	

In 2010, the highest level of contamination with radiocesium was also found in the symbiotrophs *C. praestans* – up to 246.0 kBq/kg dm (BAF – up to 708.0) (near the village of Karpylivka), *Paralepista gilva* – 68.0 (BAF – 283.3), *A. rubescens* – up to 50.0 (BAF up to 173.0), in

*L. helvus* up to 48.0 (BAF-141.6). It should be noted a high level of radiocesium activity variability in the same species from the same location – for example, the level in *I. badia* differed by 2.56 times, *A. rubescens* differed by 9.63, and *M. procera* to 27.14 times.

Table 6

<sup>137</sup>Cs-activity in (kBq/kg d.m.) in mushrooms from Kozelets' and Chernihiv districts in 2010

Species, soil	<sup>137</sup> Cs	BAF
1	2	3
2010		
near vil. Karpylivka		
<i>Amanita citrina</i>	0.562	2.342
<i>Amanita pantherina</i>	0.069	0.288
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	5.192	21.63
<i>Armillariella mellea</i>	0.524	2.183
<i>Boletus edulis</i>	0.208	0.867
<i>Infundibulicybe gibba</i>	0.138	0.575
<i>Fomitopsis betulina</i>	0.040	0.167
<i>Imleria badia</i>	6.012	25.05
<i>Lactarius turpis</i>	3.213	13.39
<i>Lactifluus volemus</i>	10.45	43.54
<i>Macrolepiota procera</i>	0.073	0.304
<i>Paralepista gilva</i>	68.00	283.3
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	20.03	83.46
<i>Russula cyanoxantha</i>	2.554	10.64
<i>Russula vesca</i>	1.925	8.020
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	3.040	12.67
<i>Suillus granulatus</i>	1.108	4.617
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	10.65	44.38
<i>Tricholoma fulvum</i>	2.708	11.28
<i>Tricholomopsis rutilans</i>	0.906	3.775
Soil	0.240	
<i>Chlorophyllum rhacodes</i>	0.324	0.956
<i>Cortinarius praestans</i>	240.0	708.0
<i>Hypholoma fasciculare</i>	0.059	0.174
<i>Lactarius helvus</i>	48.00	141.6
<i>Macrolepiota procera</i>	1.981	5.844
<i>Russula aeruginea</i>	0.901	2.658
<i>Tricholoma equestre</i>	3.428	10.11
<i>Tricholoma fulvum</i>	2.621	7.732

Продовження табл. 6

1	2	3
Soil	0.339	
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.161	0.557
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	50.00	173.0
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	8.800	30.45
<i>Cortinarius praestans</i>	146.0	505.2
<i>Imleria badia</i>	15.40	53.29
<i>Lactarius turpis</i>	15.80	54.67
<i>Russula cyanoxantha</i>	45.00	155.7
<i>Russula vesca</i>	31.00	107.3
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	5.400	18.69
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	12.90	44.64
Soil	0.289	
near vil. Pavlivka		
<i>Hortiboletus rubellus</i>	0.052	-
<i>Imleria badia</i>	0.104	-
<i>Xerocomellus chrysenteron</i>	0.396	-
Soil	BDL*	
near vil. Smolyn		
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	1.709	4.644
<i>Lycoperdon perlatum</i>	0.501	1.361
<i>Macrolepiota procera</i>	0.089	0.242
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	5.738	15.59
Soil	0.368	
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.092	0.979
<i>Macrolepiota procera</i>	0.040	0.426
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	0.215	2.287
Soil	0.094	

Note: \*BDL – below detection limit; – - no data available

Due to the very high variability in the levels of radiocaesium contamination of mushrooms, observed even in the samples of one species from the same location, we calculated the geometric mean value. For all mushroom samples collected in 2005-2010, the geometric mean of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity amounted to 2.766 kBq/kg dm. The geometric means of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  activity differed significantly in some group of species – in *Amanita* spp. it was 1.180 kBq/kg dm (from 0.199 in *A. pantherina* to 5.004 kBq/kg dm in *A. rubescens*); in *Russula* spp. – 2.306 kBq/kg dm (from 0.137 in *R. amethystina* to 11.67 in *R. emetica*); in *Tricholomataceae* – 2.994 (from 0.496 in *T. rutilans* to 68.00 in *P. gilva*). In *Boletales* species, this value was 3.359 kBq/kg dm (from 0.052 in *H. rubellus* to 16.48 kBq/kg dm in *T. felleus*); in *Lactarius* spp. – 9.741 (from 3.731 in *L. turpis* to 49.03 in *L. helvus*); in *Cortinarius* spp. the geometrical mean was the highest – 49.85 kBq/kg dm (of 23.27 in *C. semisanguineus* to 187.2 in *C. praestans*).

D. Holiaka et al. (2020) confirmed significantly higher  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  mobility in elements of forest ecosystems than  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ . They noted a gradual

further increase in the share of these radionuclides in the aboveground biomass components (up to 0.9 %·year<sup>-1</sup> from the total activity in forest ecosystems) owing to the increase of organic matter stocks. On the one hand, this indicates the continuing danger of an additional source of contamination for mushrooms, on the other hand, the need for long-term radiation monitoring of all components of the biota.

Determination of  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  activity in some samples of mushrooms from a location near the village of Karpylivka showed a significant level of contamination – of 0.099 for *A. muscaria* to 7.900 kBq/dm for *A. rubescens*. Considering that the maximum permissible level for dry mushrooms of this radionuclide, adopted in Ukraine, is 0.250 kBq/kg, in this case we are talking about quite serious contamination with radiostrontium (Table 7). The  $^{137}\text{Cs}/^{90}\text{Sr}$  ratio in the studied samples ranged from 1.626 (*A. muscaria*) to 63.48 (*C. praestans*). For most mushroom species from the Zelenopolyansk forestry, this ratio was at the level of 10-10<sup>2</sup> (Gabriel et al., 2023).

Table 7

$^{90}\text{Sr}$ -activity (kBq/kg dm) and ratio  $^{137}\text{Cs}/^{90}\text{Sr}$  in mushroom samples from location near vil. Karpylivka in 2010

Species	$^{90}\text{Sr}$	BAF	$^{137}\text{Cs}/^{90}\text{Sr}$
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.099	0.031	1.626
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	7.900	2.469	6.329
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	0.400	0.125	22.00
<i>Cortinarius praestans</i>	2.300	0.719	63.48
<i>Imleria badia</i>	2.800	0.875	5.500
<i>Lactarius turpis</i>	1.200	0.375	13.17
<i>Russula cyanoxantha</i>	3.400	1.063	13.24
<i>Russula vesca</i>	4.000	1.250	7.750
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	1.200	0.375	4.500
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	3.000	0.938	4.300
Soil	3.200		1.438

Table 8

$^{137}\text{Cs}$ -activity in (kBq/kg d.m.) in mushrooms from Kozelets' and Chernihiv districts in 2018, 2020 and 2021

Species, soil	$^{137}\text{Cs}$	BAF
1	2	3
2018		
near vil. Smolyn		
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	0.677	2.943
<i>Coltricia perennis</i>	0.303	1.317
<i>Hypholoma fasciculare</i>	0.589	2.561
<i>Imleria badia</i>	3.761	16.35
<i>Tylopilus felleus</i>	1.996	8.678
Soil	0.230	
<i>Amanita pantherina</i>	0.143	0.917
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	0.829	5.314
<i>Fistulina hepatica</i>	0.460	2.949
<i>Hypholoma fasciculare</i>	0.269	1.724
<i>Imleria badia</i>	3.363	21.56
<i>Leccinum scabrum</i>	0.931	5.968
<i>Russula foetens</i>	0.843	5.404
<i>Russula vesca</i>	0.146	0.936
<i>Telephora terrestris</i>	0.280	1.795
<i>Tylopilus felleus</i>	0.791	5.071
Soil	0.156	
<i>Imleria badia</i>	0.135	4.500
<i>Russula vesca</i>	0.041	1.367
<i>Suillus granulatus</i>	0.179	5.967
Soil	0.030	
2020		
<i>Amanita citrina</i>	0.999	1.986
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.474	0.942
<i>Amanita pantherina</i>	0.310	0.616
<i>Cortinarius caperatus</i>	1.546	3.073
<i>Cortinarius malicorus</i>	13.66	27.16
<i>Cortinarius trivialis</i>	2.687	5.345
<i>Hypholoma fasciculare</i>	0.779	1.549
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i>	0.719	1.429
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i>	2.050	4.076
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	2.030	4.036

Продовження табл. 8

1	2	3
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	2.325	4.622
<i>Russula amethystina</i>	0.991	1.970
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	0.381	0.757
<i>Suillus bovinus</i>	1.873	3.724
Soil	0.503	
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.682	3.187
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	2.254	10.53
<i>Chlorophyllum rhacodes</i>	0.113	0.528
<i>Cortinarius malicorus</i>	10.84	50.65
<i>Cortinarius praestans</i>	11.45	53.50
<i>Imleria badia</i>	7.682	35.90
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	5.080	23.74
<i>Russula cyanoxantha</i>	2.974	13.90
<i>Russula paludosa</i>	0.578	2.701
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	0.116	0.542
Soil	0.214	
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.553	3.435
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	1.885	11.71
<i>Cortinarius caperatus</i>	4.742	29.45
<i>Cortinarius decipiens</i>	11.42	70.93
<i>Cortinarius malicorus</i>	24.96	155.0
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i>	1.497	9.298
<i>Imleria badia</i>	2.259	14.03
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	2.708	16.82
<i>Lycoperdon perlatum</i>	0.133	0.826
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	2.540	15.78
<i>Russula amethystina</i>	1.562	9.702
<i>Russula roseipes</i>	0.413	2.565
<i>Russula paludosa</i>	1.906	11.84
<i>Sarcodon imbricatus</i>	10.84	67.33
<i>Suillus bovinus</i>	1.957	12.16
<i>Suillus granulatus</i>	12.73	79.07
<i>Tricholoma equestre</i>	10.85	67.39
<i>Tricholoma fulvum</i>	3.259	20.24
Soil	0.161	
2021		
near vil. Smolyn		
<i>Amanita citrina</i>	1.028	5.439
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	0.416	2.201
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	0.587	3.106
<i>Fistulina hepatica</i>	0.151	0.799
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i>	1.354	7.164
<i>Hypholoma fasciculare</i>	1.760	9.312
<i>Imleria badia</i>	3.869	20.47
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	2.210	11.69
<i>Lactarius turpis</i>	0.863	4.566
<i>Macrolepiota procera</i>	0.032	0.169
<i>Russula aeruginea</i>	0.618	3.270
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	0.265	1.402
<i>Sarcodon imbricatus</i>	16.49	87.25
<i>Suillus bovinus</i>	2.019	10.68
<i>Suillus granulatus</i>	3.890	20.58
Soil	0.189	
<i>Amanita pantherina</i>	0.682	2.890
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	1.903	8.064
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	0.426	1.805
<i>Coltricia perennis</i>	0.216	0.915
<i>Cortinarius caperatus</i>	6.140	26.02
<i>Cortinarius malicorus</i>	12.46	52.80

Продовження табл. 8

1	2	3
<i>Cortinarius praestans</i>	2.062	8.742
<i>Cortinarius trivialis</i>	10.39	44.03
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i>	0.914	3.873
<i>Imleria badia</i>	3.049	12.92
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	3.211	13.61
<i>Leccinum scabrum</i>	0.842	3.568
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	2.715	11.50
<i>Pseudoclitocybe cyathiformis</i>	0.248	1.051
<i>Russula cyanoxantha</i>	2.467	10.45
<i>Russula emetica</i>	0.851	3.606
<i>Russula foetens</i>	0.695	2.945
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	0.180	0.763
<i>Suillus bovinus</i>	1.164	4.932
<i>Tricholoma fulvum</i>	9.452	40.05
<i>Tylopilus felleus</i>	0.813	3.445
Soil	0.236	
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	1.249	6.123
<i>Cortinarius decipiens</i>	15.51	76.03
<i>Cortinarius malicorus</i>	28.97	142.0
<i>Cortinarius praestans</i>	8.613	42.22
<i>Hygrophoropsis aurantiaca</i>	1.693	8.299
<i>Imleria badia</i>	2.916	14.29
<i>Lactarius rufus</i>	3.590	17.60
<i>Lycoperdon perlatum</i>	0.075	0.368
<i>Paxillus involutus</i>	6.521	31.97
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	1.430	7.010
<i>Sarcodon imbricatus</i>	9.942	48.74
<i>Suillus bovinus</i>	1.634	8.010
<i>Suillus granulatus</i>	11.48	56.27
<i>Tricholoma equestre</i>	9.636	47.24
<i>Tricholoma fulvum</i>	3.007	14.74
Soil	0.204	
near v. Karpylivka		
<i>Amanita citrina</i>	0.976	14.35
<i>Amanita rubescens</i>	1.124	16.53
<i>Armillaria mellea</i>	BDL*	
<i>Boletus edulis</i>	0.189	2.779
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	0.296	4.353
<i>Cortinarius praestans</i>	8.046	118.3
<i>Fomitopsis betulina</i>	0.083	1.221
<i>Lycoperdon perlatum</i>	BDL	
<i>Macrolepiota procera</i>	0.140	2.056
<i>Paralepista gilva</i>	11.81	173.7
<i>Russula cyanoxantha</i>	1.038	15.26
<i>Russula vesca</i>	1.687	24.81
<i>Russula xerampelina</i>	0.654	9.618
<i>Suillus luteus</i>	12.49	183.7
<i>Tricholoma fulvum</i>	16.52	242.9
<i>Tricholomopsis rutilans</i>	0.542	7.971
Soil	0.068	
<i>Amanita muscaria</i>	2.043	0.706
<i>Russula vesca</i>	14.11	4.879
<i>Tricholoma fulvum</i>	28.40	9.820
<i>Xerocomellus chrysenteron</i>	3.016	1.043
Soil	2.892	

Note: \*BDL – below detection limit

The geometric mean of radiocaesium activity in the studied mushrooms for the period 2018-2021 amounted to 1.434 kBq/kg dm (from the minimum value – BDL in *A. mellea* to the maximum – 16.79 kBq/kg dm in *C. malicorus*). At the same time, the geometric mean values in groups of species differed significantly: in *Russula* spp. – 0.635 kBq/kg dm (from 0.345 in *R. vesca* to 1.967 in *R. cyanoxantha*); in *Amanita* spp. – 0.737 (from 0.312 in *A. pantherina* up to 1.210 in *A. rubescens*); in *Boletales* spp. – 1.905 Bq/kg dm (from 0.189 in *B. edulis* up to 12.490 in *S. luteus*); in *Lactarius* spp. – 2.502 kBq/kg dm (of 0.863 in *L. turpis* to 2.987 in *L. rufus*); *Tricholomataceae* spp. – 4.910 (from 0.542 in *T. rutilans* to 11.81 in *P. gilva*); in *Cortinarius* spp. – 8.278 Bq/kg dm (from 4.437 in *C. caperatus* to 16.79 in *C. malicorus*). Previously, increased levels of radiocaesium were also

determined in *Cortinariaceae* spp. (Haselwandter et al., 1988; Yoshida, Muramatsu, 1994; Grodzinskaya et al., 2003, 2011; Zalewska et al., 2016; Falandysz et al., 2019; Gabriel et al., 2023).

Significant differences in the levels of contamination of the same species are noted not only at different locations but also within the same sampling site, which is obviously associated with the extremely heterogeneous nature of contamination, the depth of mycelium in the soil, and microclimatic conditions. Considering the variability in the levels of accumulation of radiocaesium by different species in different habitats, the BAF value is important for the assessment of the total accumulative ability of mushroom species (Table 9).

Table 9

**Geometrical mean values of BAFs  
of wild mushroom species for 1991-2021**

Species*	BAFs
<i>A. campestris</i> , <i>H. rubellus</i> , <i>A. mellea</i> , <i>I. gibba</i> , <i>L. perlatum</i> , <i>F. betulina</i> , <i>A. clavipes</i> , <i>M. procera</i> , <i>Ch. rhacodes</i> , <i>A. pantherina</i>	0.1 – 1.0
<i>C. perennis</i> , <i>R. fragilis</i> , <i>P. cyathiformis</i> , <i>C. nebularis</i> , <i>F. hepatica</i> , <i>T. terrestris</i> , <i>R. aeruginea</i> , <i>R. ochroleuca</i> , <i>L. aurantiacum</i> , <i>R. violeipes</i> , <i>R. amethystina</i> , <i>R. roseipes</i> , <i>A. muscaria</i> , <i>R. paludosa</i> , <i>H. fasciculare</i> , <i>R. xerampelina</i>	1.1 – 3.0
<i>R. emetica</i> , <i>A. citrina</i> , <i>R. foetens</i> , <i>T. saponaceum</i> , <i>H. aurantiaca</i> , <i>B. edulis</i> , <i>C. cibarius</i> , <i>M. oreades</i> , <i>T. rutilans</i> , <i>R. delicata</i> , <i>T. felleus</i> , <i>L. scabrum</i> , <i>S. bovinus</i> , <i>R. vesca</i>	3.1 – 9.0
<i>T. portentosum</i> , <i>L. turpis</i> , <i>A. rubescens</i> , <i>T. equestre</i> , <i>C. caperatus</i> , <i>S. luteus</i> , <i>L. rufus</i> , <i>H. capnoides</i> , <i>S. granulatus</i> , <i>I. badia</i> , <i>R. butyracea</i>	9.1 – 20.0
<i>R. cyanoxantha</i> , <i>T. fulvum</i> , <i>P. involutus</i> , <i>L. helvus</i> , <i>C. varius</i> , <i>L. volemus</i> , <i>R. maculata</i> , <i>C. trivialis</i>	20.1 – 50.0
<i>C. malicorus</i> , <i>C. decipiens</i> , <i>S. imbricatum</i> , <i>C. praestans</i>	50.1 – 100.0
<i>C. semisanguineus</i> , <i>P. gilva</i>	> 100

Note: \* The species in the first column are arranged in order of increasing BAFs

Summarizing data on the intensity of radiocaesium accumulation during the research period (1991-2021) showed that among the species with an average and high level of accumulation are only mycorrhizal species. Hyper-accumulative properties in relation to radiocaesium are demonstrated by *Cortinarius*, *Sarcodon*, *Paralepista*, *Tricholoma*, *Lactarius*, *Suillus* spp. Recommended by us earlier (Grodzinskaya et al., 2003, 2011, 2013), common on the territory of Ukrainian Polesie – *I. badia* (= *B. badius*), *P. involutus* and *L. rufus*, stably throughout the entire post-Chernobyl period serve as

representative bioindicators of radiocaesium contamination.

The potential risk of radioactivity to human health is expressed as the equivalent dose in mSv per year. Based on the obtained data, we calculated the potential average dose of internal irradiation considering only the part connected with <sup>137</sup>Cs by consumption of some popular culinary-medicinal species in 2020-2021, taking the average annual consumption of an adult inhabitant of Chernihiv Polesie (5 kg of fresh mushrooms) and a culinary processing coefficient of 0.5 (Table 10).

Table 10

Potential annual doses of internal radiation (mSv/y) due to consumption of *Boletus edulis*, *Imleria badia*, *C. cibarius*, *Suillus* spp., *T. equestre* by adults from monitoring localities (near the villages of Smolyn and Karpylivka)

Species	Min <sup>137</sup> Cs activity (kBq/kg dry mass)	Min Dose (mSv/y)	Max <sup>137</sup> Cs activity (kBq/kg dry mass)	Max Dose (mSv/y)
<i>Boletus edulis</i>	189	0.0006	-	-
<i>Imleria badia</i>	2259	0.0073	7682	0.0250
<i>Cantharellus cibarius</i>	296	0.0010	2254	0.0073
<i>Suillus</i> spp.	1164	0.0038	12730	0.0414
<i>Tricholoma equestre</i>	9636	0.0313	10850	0.0353

In 2020-2021, the potential annual equivalent dose reached maximum values in *Suillus* spp., *Tricholoma equestre* and *I. badia*, in which it reached, respectively, 4.1, 3.5 and 2.5 % of the annual dose (1 mSv).

#### Conclusions

Activity levels of <sup>137</sup>Cs in wild mushrooms of Chernihiv Polesie varied over the years 1991-2021 depending on the mushroom species, its ecological affiliation, radioecological situation at the sampling sites, showing a certain tendency to decrease over time.

Hyper-accumulative properties in relation to <sup>137</sup>Cs are demonstrated by *Cortinarius*, *Sarcodon*, *Paralepista*, *Tricholoma*, *Lactarius*, *Suillus* spp. Widely distributed on the territory of Ukrainian Polesie – *I. badia*, *P. involutus* and *L. rufus*, remain represen-

tative bioindicators of radiocaesium contamination.

A potential calculated annual effective doses due to the mushrooms consumption by adults, considering only the effect of the <sup>137</sup>Cs, reached a high values in *Suillus* spp., *T. equestre*, and *I. badia* – 0.041, 0.035 and 0.025 mSv, respectively, which indicates a relatively insignificant dose load when consuming mushrooms in these localities compared to other localities of Ukrainian Polesie that have higher levels of soil contamination with radionuclides.

However, radiation control can still be recommended during mushroom procurement and mass collections because of the persistent spotty and uneven nature of contamination of the territory of Chernihiv Polesie.

#### References

- Baeza, A., & Guillén, J. (2007). Role of fungi in the determination of the radiological status of terrestrial ecosystems. *Bioremed Biodiver Bioavail*, 1, 78–87.
- Ernst, A.-L., Reiter, G., Piepenbring, M., & Bässler, C. (2022). Spatial risk assessment of radiocaesium contamination of edible mushrooms – Lessons from a highly frequented recreational area. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 807(2), 150861. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150861>
- Falandysz, J., & Borovička, J. (2013). Macro and trace mineral constituents and radionuclides in mushrooms: Health benefits and risks. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 97, 477–501. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4552-8>
- Falandysz, J., Zalewska, T., & Fernandes, A.R. (2019). <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>40</sup>K in *Cortinarius caperatus* mushrooms (1996-2016) in Poland – Bioconcentration and estimated intake: <sup>137</sup>Cs in *Cortinarius* spp. from the Northern Hemisphere from 1974 to 2016. *Environ. Pollut.*, 255(1), 113208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113208>

- Fraiture, A., Guillite, O., & Lambinon, G. (1990). Interest of fungi as bioindicators of the contamination in forest ecosystems. In Desmet G., Nassimbeni P., Belli M. (eds.), *Transfer of radionuclides in natural and semi-natural environments* (pp. 477–484). Elsevier Applied Science.
- Gabriel, J., Grodzynska, G.A., Nebesnyi, V.B., & Landin, V.P. (2023): Radioactive contamination of mushrooms from Polis'ke Forestry (Kyiv Region, Ukraine) long after the Chernobyl accident. *Czech Mycol.*, 75(2), 117–137. <https://doi.org/10.33585/cmy.75202>
- Grodzinskaya, A.A., Nebesnyi, V.B., Landin, V.P., & Gabriel, J. (2022). Radioactive contamination of wild mushrooms from Ukraine under conditions of contrasting radiation loads: 36 years after Chernobyl NPP catastrophe. *International Journal of Medicinal Mushrooms*, 24(9), 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.1615/IntJMedMushrooms.2022044725>
- Grodzinskaya, A.A., Berreck, M., Wasser, S.P., & Haselwandter, K. (1995). Radiocesium in fungi: Accumulation pattern in the Kiev district of Ukraine including the Chernobyl zone. *Sydowia*, 10, 88–96.
- Grodzinskaya, A.A., Berreck, M., Haselwandter, K., & Wasser, S.P. (2003). Radiocesium contamination of wild-growing medicinal mushrooms in Ukraine. *International Journal of Medicinal Mushrooms*, 5(1), 61–86. <https://doi.org/10.1615/IntJMedMushr.v5.i1.90>
- Grodzinskaya, A.A., Samchuk, A.I., Nebesnyi, V.B., Honchar, H.Yu. (2019). Radiocesium ( $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ) and mineral elements in culinary-medicinal mushrooms from the southern outskirts of Kyiv (Ukraine). *International Journal of Medicinal Mushrooms*, 21(1), 71–77. <https://doi.org/10.1615/IntJMedMushr.2018029583>
- Grodzinskaya, A.A., Syrchin, S.A., Kuchma, N.D., & Bilay, V.T. (2011, October 4–7). *Radioactive contamination of Ukrainian wild-growing mushrooms*. [Conference presentation]. Mushroom Biology and Mushroom Products, Arcachon, INRA. Paris, France.
- Grodzynska, G.A. (2017). Radionuclide contamination of macromycetes. *Visn. Reports of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine*, 6, 61–76. (in Ukrainian). <https://doi.org/10.15407/visn2017.06.061>  
Гродзинська Г. А. Радіонуклідне забруднення макроміцетів. *Вісник НАН України*. 2017. № 6. С. 61–76.
- Guillén, J., & Baeza, A. (2014). Radioactivity in mushrooms: A health hazard? *Food Chem.*, 154, 14–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.12.083>
- Haselwandter, K., Berreck, M., & Brunner, P. (1988). Fungi as bioindicators of radiocesium contamination: Pre- and post-Chernobyl activities. *Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc.*, 90, 171–4. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536\(88\)80085-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(88)80085-8)
- Holiaka, D.M., Levchuk, S.E., Yoschenko, V.I., Kashparov, V.A., Yoschenko, L.V., Holiaka, M.A., Pavliuchenko, V.V., Diachuk, P.P., Zadorozhniuk, R.M., & Morozova, V.S. (2020).  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  and  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  inventories in the depots and biogenic fluxes of the typical forest stands in the Chernobyl exclusion zone. *Nucl. Phys. At. Energy, Section: Radiobiology and Radioecology*, 21(3), 256–264. <https://doi.org/10.15407/jnpae2020.03.256>
- Kalač, P. (2012). Radioactivity of European wild growing edible mushrooms: In Andres, S., & Baumann, N. (ed.) *Mushroom types, properties and nutrition* (pp. 215–230). Nova Science Publishers.
- Kalač, P. (2019). *Mineral composition and radioactivity of edible mushrooms*. Academic Press.
- Komatsu, M., Nishina, K., & Hashimoto S. (2019). Extensive analysis of radiocesium concentrations in wild mushrooms in eastern Japan affected by the Fukushima nuclear accident: Use of open accessible monitoring data. *Environ. Pollut.*, 255(2), 113236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113236>



Landin, V.P. (2013). *Methodology for rehabilitation and sustainable use of forest ecosystems contaminated with radioactive substances* [Thesis for doctoral degree in Agricultural Sciences, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Institute of Agroecology and Nature Management] (in Ukrainian). Abstract database «Ukrainica Scientific». <http://irbis-nbuv.gov.ua/publ/REF-0000488787>

Ландін В.П. Методологія реабілітації та збалансованого використання радіоактивно забруднених лісових екосистем: автореф. дис. ... д-ра с.-г. наук: 03.00.16 / Нац. акад. аграр. наук України, Ін-т агроекології та природокористування. Київ, 2013. 41 с.

Maslyak, P.O., & Shishchenko, P.G. (n.d.). Zone of mixed coniferous-deciduous forests. Kyiv Polesie. Chernihiv Polesie. Novhorod-Siverskyi Polesie. In *Online library of educational and scientific literature EduKnigi.com*. (in Ukrainian). [https://eduknigi.com/geo\\_view.php?id=42](https://eduknigi.com/geo_view.php?id=42)

Масляк П. О., Шищенко П. Г. Зона мішаних хвойно-широколистих лісів. Київське Полісся. Чернігівське Полісся. Новгород-Сіверське Полісся. *Онлайн бібліотека освітньої та наукової літератури EduKnigi.com*. URL: [https://eduknigi.com/geo\\_view.php?id=42](https://eduknigi.com/geo_view.php?id=42) (дата звернення: 05.10.2023).

Nakashima, K., Orita, M., Fukuda, N., Taira, Ya., Hayashida, N., Matsuda, N., & Takamura, N. (2015). Radiocesium concentrations in wild mushrooms collected in Kawauchi Village after the accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant. *PeerJ* 3:e1427. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1427>

Orita, M., Kimura, Y., Taira, Y., Fukuda, T., Takahashi, J., Gutevych, O., Chorny, S., Kudo, T., Yamashita, S., & Takamura, N. (2018). Activities concentration of radiocesium in wild mushroom collected in Ukraine 30 years after the Chernobyl power plant accident. *PeerJ* 6:e4222. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4222>

The Ministry of Health of Ukraine. (2006). *Permissible levels of the <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>90</sup>Sr radionuclides in food and drinking water* (State Hygiene Standard DR-97: 2006). (in Ukrainian). <https://zakon.rada.gov.ua/rada/show/v0255282-97>

ДР-97. Допустимі рівні вмісту радіонуклідів <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>90</sup>Sr у продуктах харчування та питній воді. Державні гігієнічні стандарти. [Чинний від 2006-05-03]. Вид. офіц. Київ : МОЗ України, 2006. URL: <http://zakon.rada.gov.ua/rada/show/v0255282-97> (дата звернення: 15.09.2023).

Saniewski, M., Falandysz, J., & Zalewska, T. (2022). <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>40</sup>K activity concentrations in edible wild mushrooms from China regions during the 2014–2016 period. *Foods and Raw Materials*, 10(1), 86–96. <https://doi.org/10.21603/2308-4057-2022-1-86-96>

Wasser, S.P., Bolukh, V.O., Brun', G.O., Virchenko, V.M., Grodzynska, G.A., Kondratyuk, S.Ya., Navrotska, I.L., Stupina, V.V., & Tsarenko, P.M. (Eds. Wasser S.P.). (1995). *Uptake of radionuclides by cryptogame plants and higher fungi of Ukraine*. Kyiv. 131 p. (in Ukrainian)

Вассер, С.П., Болюх, В.О., Брунь, Г.О., Вірченко, В.М., Гродзинська, Г.А., Кондратюк, С.Я., Навроцька, І.Л., Ступіна В.В., Царенко П.М. Накопичення радіонуклідів споровими рослинами і вищими грибами України / Під заг. ред. С.П. Вассера. Київ, 1995, 131 с.

Wasser, S.P., & Grodzinskaya A.A. (1993). Content of radionuclides in macromycetes of the Ukraine in 1990–1991. In *Fungi of Europe: Investigation, recording and conservation* (pp. 189–210). Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.

Макромицеты: лекарственные свойства и биологические особенности / Под ред. проф. С.П. Вассера. Киев: Наш формат, 2012. 285 с.

Yoshida, S., & Muramatsu, Y. (1994). Concentrations of radiocesium and potassium in Japanese mushrooms. *Environ. Sci.*, 7(1), 63–70.

Zalewska, T., Cocchi, L., & Falandysz J. (2016). Radiocaesium in *Cortinarius* spp. Mushrooms in the regions of the Reggio Emilia in Italy and Pomerania in Poland. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 23, 23169–23174. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-016-7541-0>

## Ви можете цитувати цю статтю так:

Grodzynska G., Nebesnyi V., Teslenko I. Radioactive contamination of wild mushrooms in Chernihiv Polesie. *Biota, Human, Technology*. 2023. №2. С. 55-72

## Cite this article in APA style as:

Grodzynska, G., Nebesnyi, V., & Teslenko, I. (2023). Radioactive contamination of wild mushrooms in Chernihiv Polesie. *Biota, Human, Technology*, 2, 55-72

## Information about the authors:

**Grodzynska G.** [*in Ukrainian*: Гродзинська Г.]<sup>1</sup>, Senior Researcher, PhD in Biol. Sc., email: a.grodzinskaya@gmail.com  
ORCID – 0000-0002-5128-8695      *Researcher ID* – AAF-3287-2020      *Scopus-Author ID* – 6506163276  
Department of Phytoecology, Institute for Evolutionary Ecology, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  
Acad. Lebedeva St.37, Kyiv, 03143, Ukraine

**Nebesnyi V.** [*in Ukrainian*: Небесний В.]<sup>2</sup>, Researcher, email: nebvit@gmail.com  
ORCID – 0000-0003-2455-1715      *Researcher ID* – AAF-9171-2019      *Scopus-Author ID* – 6505658753  
Department of Phytoecology, Institute for Evolutionary Ecology, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  
Acad. Lebedeva St.37, Kyiv, 03143, Ukraine

**Teslenko I.** [*in Ukrainian*: Тесленко І.]<sup>3</sup>, Lead Engineer, email: igor3654@ukr.net  
ORCID – 0000-0002-4184-4774  
Department of Phytoecology, Institute for Evolutionary Ecology, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  
Acad. Lebedeva St.37, Kyiv, 03143, Ukraine

<sup>1</sup> Study design, data collection, statistical analysis, manuscript preparation.

<sup>2</sup> Data collection, statistical analysis, manuscript preparation.

<sup>3</sup> Data collection, statistical analysis, manuscript preparation.